

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING I	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/716,563	11/20/2003		Dae-Seob Kweon	102-1011 7056		
38209	7590	04/20/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	E & KIM, LI	LEE, SUSAN SHUK YIN				
919 18TH ST SUITE 440	REET, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
WASHINGT	ON, DC 200	2852				

DATE MAILED: 04/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/716,563	KWEON, DAE-SEOB					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Susan S. Lee	2852					
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperent of the communication apperent of the communication apperent of the communication appears and the communication appears are communication and the communication and the communication appears are communication and the communication and the communication appears are communication and the communication appears are communication and the communication appears are communication and the communication and the communication appears are communication and the communication appears are communication and the communication and the communication appears are communication and the communication appears are communication and the communic	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim (ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/5/06	6 & 11/8/05.	·					
·= · ·							
· · · · <u>-</u>							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1, 7-10,12,13 and 22-25 is/are rejecte	6) Claim(s) 1, 7-10,12,13 and 22-25 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>2-6,11 and 14-21</u> is/are objected to.	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-6,11 and 14-21</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	·					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	•						
Attrobace*(a)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2852

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 7-10, 12, 13, 22, 24, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sakai et al. (6,353,720).

Sakai et al. discloses a developing unit 4, a toner receptacle 40, a developing roller 41, a toner supplying roller 42, and a cleaning roller 45 that removes the toner from the toner supplying roller 42 (note Fig. 12B). Note column 15, lines 5-50; column 23, lines 23-67; and Fig. 13. Since the cleaning roller 45 contacts the supplying roller 42, the movement between the two rollers caused by friction must somehow shake a portion of the toner supplying roller 42.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kondo (Japan, 59-172665) as shown by Saha et al. (5,190,842).

Art Unit: 2852

Kondo discloses an image forming apparatus having a photoconductive medium 5; a developing roller 2 rotating in contact with the photoconductive medium 5; a ... developing unit frame supporting the developing roller 2 and storing toner 8 inside a receptacle; a toner supply roller 11 supplying the toner to the developing roller 2; and a cleaning unit 14 used to remove from the toner supply roller 11 the toner that remains after the toner is transferred from the toner supplying roller 11 to the developing roller 2. Note abstract and Fig. 2. Since the cleaning unit 14 is stationary and the toner supplying roller 11 rotates, the movement cause by friction must somehow shake a portion of the toner supplying roller 11. Also, since there is a magnetic field created from magnetic generating means in the developing unit (magnets 16 and 12), the toners that are on the surface of the rollers would be in the form of toner brushes or furs. This is shown by Saha et al. et al. Saha et al. discloses that the magnetic carrier particles in the developer, under the influences of the magnets in the core of the applicator (roller). form fur-like hairs or chains extending from the roller sleeve or shell of the applicator. Note Saha et al., column 1, line 67-column 2, line 4.

Claims 1, 7, 9, 10, 24, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bae (2004/0096246).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in

Art Unit: 2852

the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Bae discloses a photoconductive medium 101; a developing roller 105; a developing unti frame 104; a toner receptacle 117; a toner supplying roller 106; and a cleaning unit 115 disposed at a position opposite to the predetermined nip between the developer roller 105 and the toner supplying roller 106. Note Fig. 2 and page 3, paragraph [0031], [0032], [0040], [0041], and [0046] – [0051].

Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-6, 11 and 14-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 7, 9-13, and 21-25 (in applicant's reply filed 11/8/2005) have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/716,563 Page 5

Art Unit: 2852

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan S. Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-2137. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri., 10:30-8:00, Second Monday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Art Grimley can be reached on 571-272-2136 or 571-272-2800 (Ext. 52). The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2852

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Susan S. Lee Primary Examiner Art Unit 2852

sl